The Egerton Mine Scandal: the Egerton Ring and their subsequent Careers

Peter Gardner

In accumulating property for ourselves or our posterity, in founding a family or a state, or acquiring fame even, we are mortal; but in dealing with truth we are immortal, and need fear no change nor accident. Thoreau, Henry David. Walden.

Introduction

On 19 September 1873 the Learmonth Brothers, through the agency of Somerville Learmonth, sold their fully owned Egerton mine to mining speculator Martin Loughlin. It later emerged that the day after the sale Loughlin had taken in 3 other equal partners, purportedly for the sum of £1000 each. One of these partners was the previous mine manager William Bailey who had acted for the Learmonths as an agent in the sale. The other two were Owen Edwards, mining director and Bailey’s sharebroker and James Williamson, manager of the Union Bank in Ballarat in which both Loughlin and Edwards had substantial overdrafts. On the 30 September with Loughlin’s two Union Bank cheques totalling £13,500 cleared Bailey visited the Learmonth’s Ercildoun homestead where he was paid a 5% commission on the sale and a £25 bonus – a cheque for £700. On the day that the transfer took place a new ‘flat reef’ was discovered in the mine and it began producing fabulous profits.

When the news reached Somerville Learmonth he instituted inquiries with brokers, employees and then a private detective. Having just sold Ercildoun - its 26,000 acres of freehold and stock including pure-bred Merino flocks for £236,000 - the Learmonths were not short of money. In fact they were ‘clearing the decks’ for a return to Scotland by Somerville Learmonth, the last of the brothers in Victoria. Learmonth’s response to the astonishing news from Mt Egerton must have been outrage at having been so easily deceived. As a result of this the brothers eventually commenced legal action in the Court of Equity for fraud and collusion – a case that ran for nearly 3 years and was destined to become one of the most notable in Victorian mining history. Withers in his History of Ballarat concluded the “defendants won the battle along the whole line, injunction motions, issues, everything…” With the Egerton mine still producing bounteously and with astute investments in the Creswick deep leads Bailey and Loughlin went on to become among the richest men in Ballarat and the Colony. The latter featuring recently as the 25th richest Australian ever in Rubinstein’s The All-time Australian Top 200 Rich List whilst Bailey left his splendid mansion now part of St. John of God Hospital.
The sellers

The Learmonth brothers, John, Thomas, Somerville and later Andrew were pioneering squatters in the Port Phillip district. Their father, Thomas Learmonth – Hobart merchant and formerly of the East India Co – was a member of the Port Phillip Association, then the Derwent Company and paid £7000 for the Marrabool run of 9416 acres in the Geelong district. A number of subsequent properties were held by the latter Company although the distribution of the properties amongst the partners after its break-up in 1842 is confusing and unclear. At some stage the Learmonths, as part of the Derwent Co, were joint holders of a number of stations including Native Hut Nos 1, 2 & 3, Mt Mercer, Kurruck-Kurrut, Warrambine, Mt. Shadwell, Weatherboard and Indented Head. In 1837 Thomas and Somerville ventured to the Ballarat district where they took up the Buninyong and Burrumbeet runs. There they thrived dealing in both property and stock and building up a large flock of pure bred Merinos. George Augustus Robinson visited the run in 1842 and reported: “John, Thomas and Somerville Learmonth had erected a cottage, fenced a large paddock and grown a fine crop of wheat. They had numerous slab outbuildings thatched with grass, a stud of horses and 50,000 sheep grazing on about 8000(0) acres.”

At one time or another over the next 35 years they had the following runs: Buninyong 12,838 acres, Burrumbeet 73,212 acres, Mt Mitchell 20,800 acres Maiden Hills 12,838 acres, East Strathdownie 39,324 acres, West Strathdownie 28,000 acres and Avoca & Wycheproof 76,800 acres. The brothers purchased pre-emptive rights around the main stations of Buninyong and Burrumbeet and eventually concentrating their ownership around Ercildoun homestead on the Burrumbeet run with a freehold purchase in the 1850s of more than 20,000 acres for £75,000.

The brothers were part of the Colony’s ‘landed aristocracy’ and no doubt thought of themselves as such. They were either founding or early members of the elite Melbourne Club in 1841. They appear to have been strict, hard-working Presbyterians in the early days whilst in later years they grew remote from their workforce employing, where they could, smart efficient managers of a similar religious ilk. With the runs firmly established the brothers, singly and sometimes jointly, returned to Europe on a number of occasions. Thomas and Andrew eventually settled there permanently. The gold discovery at nearby Ballarat in 1851 and on their run at Buninyong was in many ways a boon to them – stock previously worth only 6s for the tallow and skin was now sold as meat to the miners and land prices in the Ballarat district were high for both buyers and sellers. Much of their land at Buninyong was taken up by purchases by, or leases to, mining companies. In the short term there were problems of attracting labour. But it was the long term continuing cry to ‘unlock the lands’ by unsuccessful miners and others that was to seriously compromise them in their litigation over the Egerton mine.

Aside from their stock and land operations the brothers invested in a number of mining ventures which were moderately successful. One investment was the New Enterprise mine later to become part of the Llanberris. Withers noted:
“The New Enterprise Company took up the ground next south, [from the Llanberris] and won 4000oz. of gold the first year, or £16,000 worth…That company then failed, [with] the Messrs. Learmonth taking the claim and erecting a battery and other plant. They failed, and sold to the Llanberris Company, who removed their working staff to the Enterprise shaft.” 13

and

“The Llanberris Q.M. Company is the oldest quartz company in Ballarat, the claim having been taken up by sixteen men in September, 1858, and the first crushing had in the next September, the produce of the mine to the end of 1863 being 6391 oz. of gold, value £25,469, from which £9,052 were paid in dividends. In October, 1864, the co-operative company was made a registered one in 2,000 shares in which £13,400 have been paid in dividends from a total output value £76,896. The company’s present claim includes the New Enterprise mine…The Learmonth Brothers, of subsequent Egerton fame, bought the New Enterprise, erected a new plant, and then sold to the Llanberris company.” 14

Somerville Learmonth also invested in the Staffordshire Reef Quartz Mining Company (QMCo) which ran for a number of years and about which there is little information other than that there were some rich patches in this mine. 15 Other main shareholders included Bailey and mine manager and speculator E.A. Wynne. The brothers had purchased outright the Egerton mine about 1863 and employed Bailey as their manager in 1867. Prior to Bailey’s employment it was reported that the “mine had yielded splendidly for the past twelve months, often over 1 oz. to the ton, and with every indication of permanency; and at the present time Messrs. Learmonth hold one of the most valuable mines in the colony. The lode is well-defined, of great massiveness, in many places forty feet across, and easily reduced.” 16

Although the Learmonths were unsuccessful in terms of winning great fortunes they still had good returns on their purchases. The New Enterprise was bought for £1000 and sold for £3000. The Egerton Co was bought for £7,000 and sold for £13,500. As well this mine issued about £60,000 in profits during Learmonth’s tenure. 17 But the huge fortunes made in the Llanberris QMCo after they had sold it and later in the Egerton Co were to elude them – perhaps to their chagrin. But the brothers were absentee landlords - long term visitors here whose aim was to make their fortune and then return home to Scotland with their riches. 18 They placed their trust in managers in both their pastoral and mining pursuits – especially in the latter in which they had little knowledge or experience.

The buyers: later known as the Egerton Ring

Martin Loughlin appears to have been a jovial, confident, flamboyant, almost stereotypical, Irishman. For most of his 40 odd years in Victoria he was extremely lucky. Austin McCallum in his Australian Dictionary of Biography entry described Loughlin’s initial foray into mining on the Ballarat field:

In 1855 Loughlin and his cousin, Patrick Brennan, migrated to Geelong and soon joined the gold rush to Pleasant Creek. Learning of new developments in deep-lead mining on the Ballarat field both men transferred their hopes to the co-operatives and companies which were forming to exploit the golden gutters of alluvial under the basalt plateau. Loughlin had remarkable good fortune. With Brennan he
joined the Golden Gate Co-operative Co. as a working shareholder and by October 1856 also had shares in the Alston and Weardale Co. By March 1857 he was a working shareholder in the Kohinoor claim on the Golden Point lead which paid dividends of £304,460 after winning 147,570 ozs of gold; in the Melbourne share list of 3 June 1863 forty shares were quoted at £2800 each. 19

Loughlin is reputed to have been worth £100,000 in the early 1860s. This figure is a gross overestimate possibly by a factor of ten. 20 But even with a fortune worth £10,000 he was a rich man. However by 1873 he was living well beyond his means, down on his luck and permanently boarding at Craigs Hotel. At the time of purchasing the Egerton mine Loughlin had an overdraft of £1039 with the Union Bank of Ballarat, located next door to his hotel. 21 The securities held for this overdraft were valued at £5000. We can only speculate on the decline at this time in Loughlin’s fortunes but almost certainly it involved a combination of extravagant living, possibly maintaining a stable of horses, making spectacular bets on the racetrack, poor mining investments and a three year depression in the mining industry.

William Bailey was altogether a different character – literate, cautious and conservative with his money. He was a promoter of, and worker in, a number of mining companies. 22 Bailey came to Victoria in 1848 or 1849 and worked as an overseer on two stations – probably on an annual salary of about £100 pa – before joining the gold rushes to Eaglehawk and Forest Creek (Castlemaine). Early 1853 found him at Ballarat where, after purchasing a claim in Canadian Gully, he had enough capital to buy a share in Wilson Bros general store in Ballarat East. Here he continued to prosper and almost certainly provided capital for a number of the mining ventures beginning to work the famous Ballarat deep leads. It seems likely, that he had a non-working share in more than one of the famous “Jewellers Shops” claims. 23 In 1859 he and Spencer Wilson were 2 of the six partners in the Black Hills Mining Co organised by E.A. Wynne. 24 Weston Bate wrote of this venture that although the company crushed low grade ore it generated the 6 shareholders an income of £50 per week and each share was worth £5000. 25

As well as the Black Hills Co Bailey, with Wynne and Spencer Wilson, was a large shareholder in, and later became the working manager of, the Staffordshire Reef QMCo. Somerville Learmonth was also a major shareholder in this mine. In 1867 the Learmonths employed William Bailey as manager of the Egerton gold mine on £10 per week. Bailey in turn promoted a Welshman, Morgan Griffiths, from the ranks of the working miners to that of underground manager. There can be little doubt that Bailey was an efficient, hands on mine manager, and the purchase by him of an adjoining claim for £400 netted the owners additional profits of at least £5000 pa for the following 3 years. By the early 70s profits were down and often the mine was only breaking even. As well there was a general depression in the mining industry. 26 Like Loughlin his passion was for horses and horse racing, but unlike him there is no sign that Bailey indulged in extravagant betting, at least until he could easily afford to do so.

Of the other partners in the newly purchased mine little is known of Edwards other than that he was a small time broker on the Ballarat Exchange and a director in the South Learmonth QMCo which adjoined the Egerton mine lease. Between 1864 and 1874 Edwards was a promoter and/or shareholder in 27 mining companies. 27 He was also in frequent attendance
at ‘the corner’, Ballarat’s first stock exchange. James Williamson came to Victoria in 1851 and after some pastoral experience with a relative joined the Union Bank and rapidly advanced to become manager of the Ballarat Branch. Whilst manager he was also a frequent promoter of, and speculator in, mining companies having been involved with at least 50 companies before the formation of the Egerton Co. It is of note that all of the ‘ring’ were promoters of mining companies and all of them had been promoters in at least one company involving E.A. Wynne.

September 1873: the takeover step by step

It appears that the Learmonths may have suggested to Bailey that the mine was for sale as early as 1871. However a then exorbitant price - possibly as high as £50,000 - was bandied around and it seems Bailey was not keen to sell. Dr John Learmonth had died in 1871 and Thomas had returned to Scotland in 1868 leaving Somerville and Andrew running the Australian operations. Andrew Learmonth returned to Scotland early in 1873 and Ercildoun was sold on 22 August. Somerville Learmonth remained to dispose of the Egerton mine. An article in the Victorian Historical Journal by P.L. Brown entitled ‘William Bailey and Mount Egerton’ chronicles the timing of the sale during the month of September 1873. It is roughly summarised as follows.

On 1 September 1873 Martin Loughlin contacted Somerville Learmonth and asked if the mine was for sale and if so, what price he wanted for it. Learmonth wrote to Bailey for a valuation. On 3 September Bailey replied pessimistically and expressed the hope that the Learmonths will not sell. On the 8 September Bailey visited Ercildoun when the mine was valued at £12000. Learmonth set the price at £15,000 and invited an offer from Loughlin. The mine was also offered to James Williamson who declined to make an offer. On 9 September Loughlin visited the mine, examined the books and offered £12000. However “Bailey induced Loughlin to increase the amount to Learmouth’s privately suggested £13,500.” On 12 September Bailey reported that the Rose reef was ‘worthless’ and that he intended to start a prospecting rise in level 2. On 15 September half the agreed price of £6750 was paid by Loughlin and he took possession of the mine on the 19th. The next day Bailey remained as manager on £12 per week and had purchased a quarter share in the mine for £1000 as had James Williamson and Owen Edwards. The formal transfer took place on the 23rd and on 30 September Bailey visited Somerville Learmonth at Ercildoun to collect his 5% commission with bonus. It was during this visit that Bailey was reluctant to tell Learmonth that he was a quarter owner of the mine, still the manager, and that it was crushing splendid stone. Bailey was later to claim that it was this reluctance to tell Learmonth of these matters that was the main cause of the dispute and the court battles. Also the courts indicate that it was at this meeting that Bailey shed tears at the Learmonths ‘kindness’.

It eventually became known that the new owners had commenced crushing rich stone as soon as they had taken over and the yields had jumped substantially. When the news that William Bailey was part owner of the mine and still the manager became known Somerville Learmonth’s suspicion quickly grew that they had been swindled. He commenced action to uncover more information.
October 73 to October 74: prelude to the battle in the courts

It appears that Somerville Learmonth did not learn of the possible deception until late in the year. He then began making inquiries using Mt. Egerton mine capitalist George Warren and employees like George Mair. After gathering as much information as possible he employed a private detective who began making enquiries in Mt. Egerton. In a fairly closed mining community anything unusual such as enquiries by such an individual could scarcely be kept secret. The visit by the private detective quickly came to the notice of the new mine owners. Loughlin and Bailey visited Ercildoun about this time. But it is not clear whether the visit by them was in response to the private detective’s enquiries in Mt Egerton as McGeorge indicates. The meeting was acrimonious. The words of an angry Somerville Learmonth: “I want no explanation from you, Sir” were recently adopted as the title of a paper by Peter Mansfield on the dispute. The matter was then placed before the legal representatives of both parties. All this occurred before Christmas 1873 and the exact sequence of events is not clear. By that time Somerville Learmonth and his wife had already left Ercildoun.

The mine meanwhile was crushing as much of the rich stone as possible. Before the year was out both the overdrafts of Loughlin and Edwards, including the sums used to purchase the mine, were cleared and ‘in the black’. Bailey told the courts that between the purchase and the case coming before the courts his quarter share of the mine profits was £30,000. This meant that the mine earned approximately £10,000 per month for the first 15 months of operation. There were some early sales of shares with Loughlin selling 100 shares to E.J. Brayton, mining speculator and horse enthusiast, for £100 and Edwards sold 625 shares to David Davies for £1000. At some stage parcels of 625 shares were sold to Edward Morey and David Hughes but both these gentlemen relinquished their shares before the Egerton Co was formed – almost certainly as the threatened legal action became more likely. The company was gazetted on 28.10.1874 with 25,000 £4 shares. The shareholders were Bailey and Williamson with 6250 shares, each both maintaining their original quarter shares, Loughlin with 6150, Edwards with 5625, Davies with 625 and Brayton with 100.

In and Out of the Courts – the Legal Cases

The first summons to commence the ‘suit in equity’ was issued on 24 August 1874 almost a year after the mine purchase. There followed more than two years of intense legal activity before the Supreme Court. Public records indicate 20 separate appearances on behalf of the plaintiffs and 5 appearances on behalf of the defendants, some quite lengthy and involving various hearings, orders and appeals, not concluding until 12 February 1877. The second trial by jury, for example, went for 19 days. The initial process was also long and drawn out with a total of 31 allegations, including those of fraud and collusion, filed by the plaintiffs. The defendants then responded to them, the plaintiff’s allegations were then amended and defendants responded again to the amended allegations.

Over time the original 31 allegations of the plaintiffs were reduced to five and then simply to two: “The five issues were really reducible to two, whether there was fraudulent
misrepresentation made by Bailey of the value of the mine, or rather of the gold that had been discovered in it, and whether or not Bailey was one of the contracting parties before the sale on the 15\textsuperscript{th} September.” 47

The first trial began on 11 March 1875 in the Court of Equity before Justice Molesworth who, after a number of sometimes lengthy adjournments, decided in the defendant’s favour. 48 There followed various hearings, motions and an injunction which were refused. A motion in September that fresh witnesses be heard was also refused. On 14 September Justice Molesworth refused an application by plaintiffs for a receiver to be appointed to the company. But on 17 December 1875 the full court ordered that the profits of the mine be paid into a special account in the Bank of Victoria held jointly by Somerville Learmonth and James Williamson. This was a minor victory for the plaintiffs.

On 14 March 1876 the case was before Justice Stephen and jury. The jury were divided and this court heard of a possible attempt to bribe jurors (see below) and then “…on the 20\textsuperscript{th} May, the issues were tried again before Mr Justice Stephen, and after nineteen days trial, the jury unanimously found a verdict for the defendants on all the issues.” 49 The parties appeared before Justice Molesworth again in July when the full court order of having the mine profits paid into a special account was rescinded. By then in just over six months this account had accrued the sum of £14,938. 50 A few more appeals were heard and instead of the plaintiffs taking their appeal to the Privy Council they finally agreed to settle out of court with each party agreeing to pay their own costs.

Two tactics were successfully employed by the various legal defence teams which, with hindsight, appear to have been well co-ordinated. The first was to delay wherever possible. The plaintiffs made a number of mistakes including originally identifying seven defendants including 2 shareholders who had purchased shares from ‘ring’ members after the sale. Much time was wasted trying to serve a summons on one of them - E.J. Brayton who was unable to be found by the server and it appears that this was a deliberate manoeuvre. The number of defendants was eventually reduced to five – the original members of the ‘ring’ plus the Egerton Company – each with separate legal representation. The five defence attorneys also went into enormous detail requesting matters like the court visiting the mine (refused) and the right of each attorney to challenge jury members (also refused). For every miner giving evidence for the plaintiffs there was a much larger number giving evidence – often contradictory - in favour of the defence. At times the court was overwhelmed with details of the mine operations and the depositions and evidence of miners and other witnesses for the defence.

Withers summarised the end of the case:

“...The defendants won the battle along the whole line, injunction motions, issues, everything, for when the plaintiffs made another essay to get back the mine they fared worse, the jury finding for the defendants on all the issues. Disconcerted, but not yet vanquished, the plaintiffs obtained leave to appeal to the Privy Council, but after a while began to treat with the defendants. This sent the defendant company's shares up 5s. and, as it was calculated that an absolute settlement would enhance their value 200 or 300 per cent., the defendants agreed to forego all claim for costs, which were estimated at £26,000. The dispute was settled on these terms, the plaintiffs' costs being about £50,000.
or £60,000 of which, if rumor were not a liar, not less than £15,000 was paid to one man to act as general overseer of the fight for them. This was the heaviest single suit in all Ballarat Mining litigation.” 51

As the rumours began to circulate that the litigation had been settled the share price of the Egerton Co shares crept up by 20%. In one day when the settlement became widely known the price of each share rose by £1 and rose 400% in less than thirty days. 52

The Bribery Allegations

On the question of bribery Withers noted that on:

“the 3rd April [1876] the judge summoned up to the jury; the jury could not agree, not even a majority of three-fourths, and they were discharged. Mr. Dovan, one of the jurymen, said at the close of the judge's charge that he wished to make a statement which he considered a duty to himself and his fellow jurors. "Last Wednesday evening a fellow came up to him in the interest of the defendants and offered him £250 to stand out and give a verdict on their side, and told him at the same time that they only wanted one to complete the number to form a disagreement." When the jury had announced their final failure to agree the judge said to Dovan:—'Can you recognise the person you said spoke to you Dovan replied:—'Yes, I can give his name." His Honor then discharged the jury.” 53

Fitzgerald noted that the police had investigated the bribery charge and found it was a hoax, but one of the defendant solicitor's clerks, named Westley, was briefly charged with 'embracery' before the charge was dismissed. 54 Further the court records indicate that a number of other attempts were made to influence, if not bribe, witnesses by both sides. In the March 1875 hearing Justice Molesworth heard that Samuel Skewes had attempted to influence mine witnesses whilst collecting evidence for the plaintiffs. 55 But it is the evidence at the first trial by jury given by John Francis, who worked as a miner at the Egerton Co for five years that is the most startling. Francis in his evidence claimed that the rich reef had been found in October 1872 and that prior to the court hearing Loughlin had tried to bribe him. Francis stated: “… the said Martin Loughlin then told me a good thing was on for the boys… [meaning the miners who had given evidence for the defendants] If you will not go into the box for us suppose I give you five hundred pounds to clear out and leave the Colony I said No He then said what do you say to one thousand pounds.” 56 Francis noted that Loughlin was accompanied by Morgan Griffiths, the underground mine manager and Thomas Davies, a Welsh miner, when the bribery attempt was made. Francis’ evidence was denied by all concerned.

The fact that the jury was hung and Dovan’s turn of phrase – “that they only wanted one to complete the number to form a disagreement” - implied that one or more of the jurors had already accepted a bribe. Possibly the police investigation into this matter and the charging of Westley is buried somewhere in the Public Records Office and will eventually surface. The charges against Loughlin, even though entirely within character, are unproven. He had the reputation was for ‘splashing his money around’ and at this time he certainly had plenty to do so.
The Political Background

The compilers of *Victoria & its Metropolis* noted that with the huge immigration that followed the discovery of gold there were many unsuccessful diggers who did not or could not return to Europe and who wanted land. They noted that “here was a large democratic tide, beating with fierce agitation against the position in which 800 or 900 squatting tenants had entrenched themselves.” 57 As early as May 1860 there had been serious riots in Melbourne over land bills being rejected by the Legislative Council. 58 Michael Cannon has noted that the campaign to unlock the lands “was conducted with great virulence” and that “On the one hand stood the squatters with their powerful economic and political interest; on the other stood the restless forces unleashed by the gold rushes.” 59 After manhood suffrage was won in 1857 the lower house was soon full of radicals and merchants whilst in the Legislative Council were the “conservative pioneers and squatters, now well entrenched and determined to hang on to their gains.” 60 Thomas Learmonth was for a short time a member of this body before returning to Scotland to take up an inherited estate in 1868. Cannon noted that “In the end the graziers alienated the sympathy of practically every other citizen by setting up their pseudo-aristocracy based on money and little else; and by opposing at every step the tide of radical reform which converted Australia into the beginnings of a liberal egalitarian democracy.” 61

It was in this climate that the Egerton Case was heard. Mansfield was quite convinced that it was the political situation – the unpopularity of the squattocracy in general and in this case represented by the Learmonth’s – that was the overriding factor in the success of the defendants. 62 More conservatively Brown noted: “The Mount Egerton trials were notorious because of the fortune involved and the bad blood engendered. They attracted excessive attention because they were so protracted, because they promised scandal, and because of the principals contended, or seemed to contend, across the popular line of political cleavage. They still enable sensation to stifle sense.” 63

A Question of Fraud

On the question of whether there was fraud and collusion in the Egerton Ring purchase of the mine the answer must be definitely in the affirmative. The contemporary case against the defendants was strong and with hindsight the circumstantial evidence against the ‘ring’ is substantial and fairly conclusive.

The mechanics of the actual transaction require close scrutiny and, of themselves, are quite revealing. The immediate sale of three quarters of the mine by Loughlin on the day he took over (or one day later) after buying it defies the logic of a bona fide purchase. He buys the mine outright for £13,500 and then immediately sells 75% of it for £3000. One would normally expect the opposite to occur; that as a bona fide purchaser he would have sold the shares at a much higher price than he paid for them. As each quarter share was valued at £3375 at Loughlin’s buying price one would expect that each of these shares was at least
worth around £4000. For a sale at around this price not to have occurred stretches all credulity. Also a single buyer selling off part of his purchase for financial reasons would usually endeavour to retain a controlling interest - at least 51% of the mine. That there emerged four equal shareholders is another indication that the syndicate was a pre-purchase arrangement. Further the fact the sale of these shares was done, according to some, after the ‘supposed’ discovery of a new rich ‘flat reef’ stretches credulity even further. Fitzgerald found the fact that this ‘find’ was announced quickly was in the defendants favour. 64 But Williamson, for instance, was “not clear as to how he came to get his share at such a low price.” 65 There is no evidence, other than their own, that either he or Bailey paid anything for their shares and it is unlikely that they did so.

A number of later sources indicate that the mine was purchased by or on behalf of a syndicate. An obituary of Martin Loughlin inadvertently stated that he had “purchased on behalf of a syndicate, in which he was the largest holder, the celebrated Egerton mine…” 66 This was an either an unintended admission of fraud and collusion or a substantial journalistic error. If the syndicate existed before the purchase date then Bailey’s participation was definitely fraudulent as he was also acting as a selling agent. This also presupposes knowledge of richer stone in the mine and that the actions of Bailey and the rest of the ‘ring’ were intent on deceiving the previous owners of this. The fact that Loughlin initially offered the exact amount of £12000 that Bailey had just valued the mine is also suspicious. We have only Bailey’s word that he induced Loughlin to increase his offer to £13,500 which in turn was the price Learmonth had indicated to Bailey he would accept. Loughlin’s initial offer was made directly to Somerville Learmonth rather than through Bailey and this may possibly have been contrived. Bailey, on the other hand, appears to have ignored a more generous offer for the mine made directly to him sometime previously. 67

It would appear that the ‘ring’ waited for the right time to make their purchase offer. It is significant that Loughlin began his enquiries within 2 weeks of the sale of Ercildoun. Court evidence of Robert Ditchburn stated that he had known Bailey for 7 or 8 years and in a discussion with him in 1872 Bailey had said he would ‘get’ the Egerton mine. Ditchburn was also familiar with both Edwards and Williamson whom he claimed had strong social connections. He also noted that: “About three or four months previous to the sale of the said Learmonth Mine by the Plaintiffs the said Owen Edward Edwards spoke to me about it he said Learmonth was about leaving for England and the mine could be got cheap and it was the biggest thing in the world and that I was to have a share in it he said the nearer it was left to the Learmonths departure the cheaper it would be got.” 68 There is in Ditchburn’s statement a tinge of ‘sour grapes’ which casts some doubt over his evidence.

The extremely cautious approach by Bailey appears to have been deliberately designed to make him be seen as a credible adviser and seller’s agent. The mine was producing a small profit and Bailey’s valuation and suggested sale price was equal to 3 years of profits. His actions during the sale process were designed to be beyond reproach. At each step he seemed to be reticent in selling, suggesting at one stage that the mine be offered elsewhere to bank manager Williamson, fully aware of what the bank manager’s reply would be, and directing the sale towards another member of the ‘ring’. Loughlin, then supposedly prompted by
Bailey, was encouraged to increase his offer to match that requested by Learmonth. At every turn Bailey appeared to be a reluctant seller.

He also appears to have unsuccessfully tried to keep the mine’s rich production secret for as long as possible after the sale. The Reports of the Mining Surveyors and Registrars prior to the sale clearly list the production in tons, yield and gold recovered for the Egerton Co. However the Mining Surveyor noted in the first three months following the takeover that: “The Egerton Company have raised and crushed during the quarter 4341 tons of stone, but for reasons best known to themselves, the yield of gold has not been furnished. I believe that during the quarter the mine has changed hands. The stone was obtained from the 375 to 550-foot levels and from a reef averaging in width 1 to 20 feet.” 69 The Report for the first quarter of 1874 also only gave tonnage with no yield or gold production recorded. 70 In the subsequent quarters for the rest of 1874 the Egerton Cos returns were listed under ‘Sundry Lots’ for the Gordon subdivision and not under the mine name. Since all this occurred under the management of Bailey who was familiar with the statistics required by the registrars it can be assumed that this was, at least initially, an attempt to hide how rich the mine was.

Finally Bailey was reluctant to admit to the Learmonths that he was now a part owner of the mine. Justice Molesworth in his final summary noted: “The concealment practiced by the defendant Bailey, as to his own position, and as to any new discoveries of gold after the contract [of sale] may have exposed him to grave suspicion.” 71

**Financing the Purchase**

The actual purchase of the mine was done with bank credit provided by Williamson and the only security for the mine purchase was the mine itself. This was a most unusual and questionable banking practice. It presupposes that the mine had value at least equal to the purchase price. In evidence Charles Fahey, the Union Bank of Ballarat ledger keeper gave the details of Martin Loughlin’s overdraft. On 15 September it was £1039. By 18 September it had risen to £7685 after processing the first cheque in the sale and on 20th it was reduced to £4545 following the transfer of £3000 from Edwards’ account. On 25 September it was approximately the same at £4586 and on 27th it rose to £11336 with the processing of the second mine purchase cheque. By December 13 this account was in credit. 72 The transfer from Edwards’ account of £3000 helped bring down Loughlin’s overdraft but put his own substantially ‘in the red’. This latter payment could possibly be interpreted as payment for the three new shareholders. By December both accounts were cleared and ‘in the black’ meaning a total of more than £15,000 had been paid into their respective accounts. Fahey’s court evidence indicates that neither Williamson nor Bailey paid £1000 or anything like it into Loughlin’s account prior to September 27. It seems clear that the mine earnings were used firstly to clear these overdrawn accounts and this in turn indicates phenomenal earnings from the ‘new’ reef. The mine which Bailey valued at £12000 produced at least £15000 profit in less than 3 months and the true total probably approached a figure of double this amount. (see Table 1) The Egerton Ring had thus purchased their gold mine for nothing. And their
purchase turned out to be a ‘gold mine’ in every sense. The splitting of the cheques and the transfer of credit from Edwards was probably designed so that Martin Loughlin’s overdraft was not too large, and all of this must have been approved by Williamson. Even so the state of affairs of both Loughlin and Edwards’s accounts was noted by bank employees and later submitted to the courts.

Evidence of Collusion

To confirm collusion direct links need to be established between all the parties. How well did the four purchasers know each other if at all? Part of the takeover strategy, almost certainly designed and directed by Bailey, was that the purchaser should be remote and completely removed from any business dealings with him. Martin Loughlin was that man.

Evidence of financial connections prior to the mine purchase between the ring members is fairly thin. Martin Loughlin and sharebroker, speculator and Bailey associate Edward Wynne were both investor/promoters in two companies – the United Extended Band of Hope Co and United Hand-in-Hand and Band of Hope Co - but each company had a large number of shareholders so possibly they were not acquainted. All the other ring members had numerous dealings with Wynne, and Bailey’s association with Wynne was of long standing. Then there was the association of the three of the four through the Union Bank of Ballarat. Loughlin had banked with the Union Bank for a long time so was definitely well known to manager Williamson – especially with his substantial overdraft there. Also there was the open air stock exchange known as ‘the corner’ – diagonally across the road from Craigs – which Loughlin was said to frequent and as a sharebroker Edwards was there on a daily basis. As speculators both Williamson and Bailey must also have been regular visitors to ‘the corner’ – the latter at least when he was in town. Other regular attendees at ‘the corner’ were associates of one or more members of the ‘ring’ including Wynne, David Ham, Edward Morey and E.C. Moore.

Bailey and Wynne were in numerous company promotions together the earliest known being the Black Hills Co in 1859 but they both were almost certainly silent partners in a number of co-operative ventures between 1854 and that date. Williamson and Wynne were promoters in the Scottish and Cornish Co at Buninyong with 36 shareholders in September 1861 and of which Wynne became the Chairman of Directors; Loughlin and Wynne were promoters in the United Extended Band of Hope Co Ballarat in August 1863; Edwards and Wynne were promoters in the St George United Gold Mining Co (GMCo) in Sebastopol in October 1863; Bailey and Williamson in the United Happy Valley QMCo near Beechworth in January 1869 and Bailey and Edwards in the New Greed’s Reef QMCo, Gordon in August 1872. These were the first recorded investments between each of the ring members or between ring members and E.A. Wynne. The promoters and first shareholders of these companies were sometimes small groups, with as little as five or six shareholders. However the companies Loughlin was in with Wynne were both large with over 300 original shareholders. Because all the ‘ring’ members had operated as miners, mining company promoters, speculators, share
dealers and shareholders for at least 10 years before the Egerton purchase it is likely that they knew each other.

It was more than likely that the ‘ring’ members had closer associations through social connections. There were a number of direct links between them and indirectly through other parties. But it was through horse racing activities, and Craigs Hotel in particular, that Bailey and Loughlin would have come across each other on a regular basis. Both were keen punters and Loughlin had the reputation for making big bets. Both were regular attendees at the Dowling Forest race track. Both were probably part of the group of avid racegoers centred on Craigs Hotel that included Walter Craig, E.C. Moore, E.J. Brayton and Robert Orr. Loughlin was a permanent resident at Craigs Hotel from 1870 and possibly earlier. William Bailey’s younger brother Thomas had married Craig’s daughter in 1867 and he was possibly a resident there and, if not, at least a frequent visitor. Bailey stated that he lunched at Craigs when he visited Ballarat. When Walter Craig died in 1870 Thomas Bailey inherited his racehorse Nimblefoot which went on to win the Melbourne Cup that year. The brothers attended the Melbourne Spring Racing Festival and were also noted there in 1872. Steeplechasing was also very popular and jockeys included poet Adam Lindsay Gordon, who resided in Ballarat for 18 months and ran Craigs Stables in the late 1860s. During the first trial the plaintiff’s legal team emphasized the Craigs Hotel connections. McGeorge has Bailey and Loughlin as “drinking partners”.

Another brother J.E. (Edward) Bailey ran the family farm at Mount Rowan adjacent to Dowling Forest and Miners Rest – both places associated with the early racing industry – from about 1858. Loughlin and Bailey were both associated with the Ballarat Hunt Club though how early this club functioned is unclear. The Ballarat and Creswick Turf Club commenced racing at Dowling Forest on 8.11.1854 and changed to the Ballarat Turf Club in 1858. The Ballarat & Creswick Trotting Club operated for some time from 1861 and the top trotters of Robert Orr – another long term Bailey associate and resident of Mount Rowan – were famous in the colony at that time.

Roles and Motivations

In many ways the members of the Egerton Ring appear to have chosen themselves. Bailey, long associated with the mine, and almost certainly aware of a rich reef that could be quickly exploited, was determined to get control of it. The question was how. It seems that the plan was a long time in the making which indicates that Bailey was aware of the rich reef for a similar period. Since he had previously had the mine develop an earlier discovery which was quite remunerative for the Learmonth it must be assumed that something occurred around 1870 which set him against his employers and that made him keep the discovery of the ‘new reef’ or rich find secret. He was obviously determined, secretive, patient and calculating, yet able to keep up cordial and business-like relations with his employers. Bailey was without doubt the brains and the motivating force behind the Egerton Ring.
Edwards, a director of the adjoining South Learmonth QMCo was probably the first recruit. He was the “go to” man, with a large number of connections. It is possible that Edwards, who may have previously inspected the Egerton Co reefs, was aware of local gossip of a rich reef in the mine. It is just possible that the reef was discovered during one of his inspections of the Egerton mine. There is no evidence that he did so even though such an underground visit was most likely in much the same manner that fellow South Learmonth QMCo director David Ham did. As a Welshman it is possible he heard rumours of a rich find from one of the miners in the mainly Welsh mining community. Or it may have been simply the fact that he was Bailey’s sharebroker and could make many of the connections in Ballarat that Bailey living and working in Mount Egerton could not. The apparently insurmountable problem of how to finance the purchase of the mine led to the third recruit – Edwards’ bank manager James Williamson.

As the final ‘ring’ recruit Loughlin chose himself. His financial survival depended on bank manager Williamson. Otherwise he was remote from the others and appeared to be completely remote from Bailey – at least businesswise. He had all of the attributes required of a front man and a definite financial incentive to join.

Of the motivations for all there was the lure of the proverbial ‘pot of gold’. But for Bailey alone the reasons were probably a lot more complicated than greed. He was financially secure, if not wealthy, before the purchase and a man who had numerous business dealings in partnerships, management and general commerce for most of his life, both before and after the Egerton takeover. As far as we are aware, apart from the takeover, his honesty was never called into question. One would think that aside from being called a ‘dissembler’ by a Learmonth manager George Mair and his honesty criticised by Justice Molesworth, that the plaintiffs would have produced some evidence in the courts of other questionable business activities by him. On the other hand the rock solid support of the defendants – and for defendants read Bailey – amongst the Egerton miners and the Mt Egerton community indicates that they clearly had their trust and support.

The secret and root cause of the Egerton scandal lies somewhere in the operations of the mine by the Learmonth brothers – most likely between themselves and their manager. It seems that the Learmonths seldom visited the mine and the first trial noted that Somerville Learmonth had never descended the ‘Rose’ shaft. Even so it would seem that something stronger than the attitudes of neglectful or uninterested owners was at stake. There are many other possibilities perhaps involving a social snub of some kind or an unkind remark made about the social origins of his or his brother’s wife. Or perhaps it was the aloof manner in which he was treated by the owners – that of master and servant, possibly tinged with some arrogance and a born to rule mentality - which set Bailey against the Learmouths. Whether it was a single ‘slight’ or a series of them we are unlikely to ever know unless there is some folk memory held by descendants.
The Rich Reef Discovery?

There were various depositions to the courts about when, and if, the ‘rich’ reef was discovered. And there were various claims about whether there was a ‘new’ reef or a ‘flat’ reef or whether a rich shoot was just part of the southern or ‘Rose’ reef that they had been working as a normal part of the mine operations. As noted above Bailey had said the ‘Rose reef’ was ‘worthless’ when valuing the mine for sale. The evidence from the working miners - with a handful of dissenters - all claimed that the reef was discovered either during the sale process or sometime afterwards and was part of the abundant evidence in support of the defendants provided by mine employees. Underground manager Morgan Griffiths asserted that the reef was not found until December. 82 This directly conflicts with the evidence of Union Bank employees that both Edwards’ and Loughlin’s overdrawn accounts were paid off by December 13. There can be little doubt that the spoils from the reef under the new owners also reached down to all those mine employees “in the know”. And the rich parts of the reef were brought into production as soon as the transfer had been achieved in a common process of ore selection called ‘peacocking’. Thus Griffith’s claim was both misleading and false.

McGeorge claimed: “In 1872 the Learmonths were elated to read in one of Bailey’s reports that a drive had passed through rich stone…Their excitement was however short lived, for in a later report it was stated that the gold had ‘petered out’ and that the occurrence had proved to be ‘only a small patch’.” 83 ‘Dissident’ mine witnesses offered several dates as to when the rich reef was discovered. Miner Francis claimed that the reef was either found in October 1872 or that he first became aware of it at that time. The evidence of miner James Craine stated: “I first saw good gold on 4th August [1873]…I never saw gold like that before or since. They commenced to work it a week after the mine was sold.” Craine began taking notes of what was happening in the mine because “it seemed to me that something was wrong. I suspected it from what I heard.” 84 The evidence of John David Roberts, four years a miner at Mt. Egerton stated that blank stone was crushed prior to sale of the mine to Martin Loughlin. 85 The claims of Craine, Francis and Roberts appear both reasonable and corroborate one another.

Quite possibly the ‘discovery’ of the ‘new flat reef’ may have been introduced by the defence as another effort of ‘muddying the waters’. The discovery of the rich patch, whether of a new reef or a rich shoot in the old, most likely occurred in 1872. Other options from unbiased evidence suggest both April and August 1873. But it is most likely that in 1872 when Bailey told Ditchburn he would ‘get’ the mine that he already knew of the rich ore.

The hardest but most essential part of the takeover was that the ‘rich ore discovery’ had to be kept secret. There was a risk that the longer the find was ‘kept under wraps’ the greater the chance that it would become widely known. One can assume that the initial discovery was made by a small party of miners and that the underground manager was soon informed. In turn Griffiths would have immediately informed Bailey. Once the decision was made to keep the find secret a number of the working miners would have to have been included in the deception. The miners who henceforth worked in the discovery area most likely were a small group selected by Griffiths and with one or two exceptions coming from the Welsh mining
community. The bulk of the miners continued to work in the low grade Quarry lode at some distance from the new find. After the second trial and the bribery allegations it appears certain ‘the boys’ were on some kind of ‘a promise’.

But the risks of the find being publicised were small. Mining communities were close-knit and Mount Egerton was no exception. The Welsh were a clannish and easily identified national group within this community, as were the Cornish into which group Bailey had married. This is supported by the fact that only a handful of miners testified against the defendants out of a workforce that, allowing for a small turnover, was probably well over 100. The miners that did give evidence for the plaintiffs were then ostracised by the community. 86 Prior to the sale the yield was tightly and successfully controlled by Bailey keeping it at about 6 pennyweights of gold recovered per ton probably by selecting and mixing ores from different parts of the mine. (see table 1)

Whilst the Mt Egerton community was close-knit Somerville Learmonth, still living at Ercildoun, was in a similar community, not only remote from Mt Egerton but also to a certain extent from Ballarat. He had been preoccupied with the sale of Ercildoun and his return to Scotland, and had delegated the sale of the mine to Bailey. The disposal of the Egerton mine at a small profit was probably seen as a bonus. His connection with the mine and Mt Egerton was through Bailey. Each time Bailey had business to transact with the owners he visited Ercildoun. However it is clear that once the sale had been made and some of the rich ore selected, mined and processed, all under the direction of Bailey, that gossip about this must eventually have reached Ercildoun, most likely before the end of November.

**Afterwards: The Egerton Mine and the Egerton Co**

Immediately after the take-over on 20.9.1873 the mine commenced crushing rich stone, calculated by one miner as ‘2 oz stone’. 87 As noted previously the overdrafts of both Loughlin and Edwards - used to purchase the mine - were paid off in less than three months indicating a profit of at least £15,000. Bailey stated that his share of profits prior to the court ruling for the profits of the company to be paid into a special account on 17.12.1875 was about £30,000 or £120,000 for the whole mine. This confirms an average of at least £5000 per month profit for this period. Between 17.12.75 and July 1876 when the profits were paid into the special Supreme Court nominated account held by Williamson and Learmonth the amount accrued was only £14,938 or just over £2000 per month. 88 There can be little doubt that the mine operations were organized by Bailey during this period to reduce profits - possibly by crushing some poor stone or carrying out extensive unproductive mine development. Table 2 below indicates that possible means of reducing profits included increasing the workforce size, crushing slightly lower tonnage indicating more development work, the possible dilution of the rich ore with some poorer stone and increased capital expenditure to double the size of the crushing plant. The dividends to that date for the company were listed as £78,180 for the first 9 months of company operations. 89 The net income of the mine prior to the company formation
I estimate at a minimum of £75,150 which when added to the dividends prior to the opening of the court ordered account gives approximate profits of £160,000 for the first 27 months of the company operations. It can be assumed that the first six months of operations, for which there are no records of yield, were probably the most productive and, if so, that Bailey may have substantially understated his profits for this period - by at least £10,000.⁹⁰ The tables below give some idea of output and yield.

**Table 1**

*Estimates of Production and Income of the Egerton Mine 1872-1874#*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quarter ending</th>
<th>tons</th>
<th>yield</th>
<th>oz##</th>
<th>£total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dec 72</td>
<td>3186^</td>
<td>5dwt 21gr</td>
<td>937</td>
<td>3748</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar 73</td>
<td>3582^</td>
<td>5dwt 12gr</td>
<td>986</td>
<td>3944</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 73</td>
<td>3300^</td>
<td>5dwt 6gr</td>
<td>857</td>
<td>3428</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep 73</td>
<td>3651^</td>
<td>6dwt 5gr</td>
<td>1140</td>
<td>4560</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---End Transfer---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quarter ending</th>
<th>tons</th>
<th>yield</th>
<th>oz##</th>
<th>£total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dec 73</td>
<td>4341^</td>
<td>c.2oz?</td>
<td>c.8680</td>
<td>c.35000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar 74</td>
<td>4266*</td>
<td>c.2oz?</td>
<td>c.8532</td>
<td>c.35000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 74</td>
<td>4704*</td>
<td>1oz 11 dwt</td>
<td>7416</td>
<td>29664</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep 74</td>
<td>4272*</td>
<td>1oz 11 dwt</td>
<td>6622</td>
<td>26486</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec 74</td>
<td>4620*</td>
<td>1oz 1dwt</td>
<td>4818</td>
<td>19272</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#mainly based on the quarterly Reports of the Mining Surveyors and Registrars, Vic. Parlt. Papers (available online as pdfs). ##1oz =28.4grams= 20dwt, 1dwt=24 grains. Total income based on a gold price of £4 per ounce. ^ listed in the reports as the “Egerton Co”. * listed in the reports as “Sundry Lots”. Although not identified as such, the tonnages and yields which were exceptional, clearly indicate that they came from the Egerton mine.

Following the conclusion of the litigation the company continued issuing regular large dividends, totalling between £5000 and £26,000 bi-annually, until July 1883 when a total of £276,666 in dividends had been issued to that date.⁹¹ The mine worked for another 20 years and issued a further £43,000 in dividends. The first calls for fresh capital were made in 1887.⁹² It is suspected that by then the original shareholders had either watered down their original shareholdings substantially or had sold out altogether. Martin Loughlin’s estate for instance held no shares in the Egerton Co in 1894.⁹³
Table 2

Estimates of Production and Income of the Egerton Mine 1875-77#

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quarter ending</th>
<th>tons*</th>
<th>yield</th>
<th>oz</th>
<th>£ total</th>
<th>£ Div.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>March 75</td>
<td>6093</td>
<td>1oz 13 dwt</td>
<td>10219</td>
<td>40876</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 75</td>
<td>5409</td>
<td>1oz 1 dwt</td>
<td>5929</td>
<td>23715</td>
<td>55264</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 75</td>
<td>5367</td>
<td>1oz 2 dwt</td>
<td>5990</td>
<td>23960</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 75^^</td>
<td>5358</td>
<td>18dwt</td>
<td>4824</td>
<td>19296</td>
<td>22916</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 76^^</td>
<td>4728</td>
<td>15dwt</td>
<td>3570</td>
<td>14280</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 76^^</td>
<td>4655</td>
<td>12dwt</td>
<td>2964</td>
<td>11856</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sept. 76</td>
<td>5559</td>
<td>est.17dwt</td>
<td>4674</td>
<td>18696</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec 76</td>
<td>4653</td>
<td>7dwt</td>
<td>1663</td>
<td>6652</td>
<td>19999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar 77</td>
<td>4791</td>
<td>7dwt</td>
<td>1629</td>
<td>6516</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 77 **</td>
<td>9843</td>
<td>11 dwt</td>
<td>5275</td>
<td>21100</td>
<td>10000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sept 77</td>
<td>8469</td>
<td>7dwt</td>
<td>3112</td>
<td>12448</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec 77</td>
<td>10,116</td>
<td>7dwt</td>
<td>3376</td>
<td>13504</td>
<td>7500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#mainly based on the quarterly Reports of the Mining Surveyors and Registrars, Vic. Parlt. Papers (available online as pdfs). Total income based on gold price of £4 per ounce. *all these tonnages attributed to ‘Sundry Lots’ in the Gordon Subdivision. ** tonnage treated doubled when crushing capacity increased to 43 stamps / mine now employing 185 men. ^^ profits paid into Court Ordered Account

The sums calculated during the court ordered account (Table 2) indicates operating costs of the mine had nearly doubled from its pre-sale operations to about £24,000 pa. 94 By July 1877 both the work force size and crushing capacity had also been doubled, indicating that a substantial proportion of the mine profit was invested in expansion.

Afterwards: The Seven Hills Syndicate and the Creswick Deep Leads

On 21 April 1875 whilst the Egerton litigation was in full swing Bailey, Loughlin and Ballarat speculator E.C. Moore purchased the Seven Hills Estate under which, they had calculated, ran the Creswick Deep Leads. 95 The purchase was an amazing and spectacularly successful venture as the property was over some incredibly rich deep leads and the opening up of which was financed largely from the profits of the Egerton Co. The 6000 odd acres of the Estate was eventually the home of at least 12 deep lead companies all but one eventually producing gold.
To spread their risk the buyers formed the Seven Hills Estate Freehold Co as a trading company. Initially with 200 shares of £250 nominal capital each Loughlin and Bailey always held more than 50% of this company except for one brief period - the half year leading up to 11.10.1876 when Loughlin had 60 shares and Bailey 38. By 1879 Bailey held 100 shares each paid up to £100. The company, now the Seven Hills Estate Freehold Co NL, was re-registered as a mining company on 23 May 1881 with 10,000 shares of £20. Bailey held 4,500, Loughlin held 4000 and Ballarat sharebroker David Ham held 500, altogether 90% of the company. All were original shareholders and each maintained their respective holdings until they died. The company charged a royalty of seven and a half per cent on all gold recovered and had paid more than £320,000 in dividends by the time it closed. The land was sold in 1907. It appears that the return from the final land sale, reputedly for £50,000, was not included in this figure. It is of interest that for a short time in 1878 Williamson held a small parcel of shares in the original Seven Hills Estate Company. Edwards was never a shareholder in either company.

The Seven Hills Estate was progressively mined by a dozen or more highly successful deep lead companies including the Lone Hand GMCo; the Ristori Freehold Co; the Ristori West GMCo; the Madame Berry GMCo; the Madame Berry West GMCo; the Berry Consols GMCo and the Berry Consols Extended GMCo. The Loughlin GMCo, comprised mainly of Seven Hills Estate shareholders, was the first mine formed on the Seven Hills Estate in October 1875. Some other less successful ventures included the Lord Harry GMCo and the West Loughlin. The Madame Berry was the “jewel in the crown” - a company claimed by Graham to have been the richest deep lead mine in the world. “It was a veritable Cave of Aladdin and its fame spread far and wide throughout Australia. It had a consistent and happy career…” This mine on a paid-up capital of £15,975 for its 10,000 shares eventually paid £983,770 in royalties and dividends with a return of more than 60 times the original investment. Loughlin was a promoter of this company and originally held 1000 shares. His estate in 1894 still had 100 shares. The Madame Berry at this time was still producing and paying dividends. Owen Edwards also originally held 333 Madame Berrys.

It is most likely that William Bailey at some time also held Madame Berrys but there is no direct evidence for this. The best time to buy contributing shares was when calls were being made on capital and shares were being forfeited. Bailey was closely associated with all the Seven Hills leases and therefore receiving plenty of inside information. It would have been possible, for example, for him to buy shares just before the alluvial wash in the deep lead was reached. The records of the first Seven Hills Estate Co indicate Bailey was a frequent buyer and seller of shares. Loughlin and Bailey either singly or together were promoters, shareholders or directors in the following Seven Hills companies: Madame Berry, Madame Berry West, Berry Consols, Berry No 1, West Loughlin, Loughlin, Lone Hand, Lord Harry, Ristori Freehold and the Ristori West.
Table 3 Some Deep Lead Companies on the Seven Hills Estate*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Capital £</th>
<th>Gold oz</th>
<th>Div./Roy. £</th>
<th>Ret./cap.#</th>
<th>yield^</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Madam Berry</td>
<td>15,975</td>
<td>387,314</td>
<td>983,770</td>
<td>62 times</td>
<td>85.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lone Hand</td>
<td>15,350</td>
<td>283,250</td>
<td>126,050</td>
<td>18 times</td>
<td>47.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ristori Freehold</td>
<td>6,650</td>
<td>231,650</td>
<td>104,224</td>
<td>16 times</td>
<td>62.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madam Berry West</td>
<td>9,000</td>
<td>141,500</td>
<td>91,800</td>
<td>10 times</td>
<td>47.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ristori West</td>
<td>12,000</td>
<td>38,401</td>
<td>84,850</td>
<td>7 times</td>
<td>39.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Berry Consols</td>
<td>76,000</td>
<td>190,799</td>
<td>393,090</td>
<td>5 times</td>
<td>52.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loughlin</td>
<td>17,400</td>
<td>38,176</td>
<td>70,260</td>
<td>4 times</td>
<td>46.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* based on McGeorge pp.58-9 Fahey (Fahey, Charles. The Berry Deep Lead CF&L, Melbourne 1986) and Graham have variations on these amounts. ^ yield in dwts per fathom of ground. # dividends & royalties /capital

Afterwards: Mines, a Bank, Land and Horses

All the ‘ring’ kept investing in gold mines, although Williamson had only an occasional ‘flutter’. Besides those at Creswick, these investments were mainly, but not exclusively, concentrated on deep leads in the Maryborough district at Timor, Carisbrook and Majorca. Loughlin and Edwards were the major investors in the Duke & Timor GMCo in 1878. This mine functioned profitably until 1885 when Loughlin associate B.J. Fink took over the mine when it was threatened with closure. Fink, who had been investing in mining ventures in the Maryborough district since 1878, was often promoting mines with the Seven Hills shareholders and their associates. Bailey invested in a range of mainly ‘deep lead’ mines in this district including Chalks Estate GMCo; Majorca United Leads; Napier Extended; Chalks Freehold; Napier Freehold GMCo; Chalks No.1 and Kong Meng No.2. Some of these mines issued substantial dividends. Frequently both Bailey and Loughlin as major shareholders of these companies sat on directors boards, sometimes as Chairman.

In 1882 B.J. Fink formed the Joint Stock Bank of Victoria in Ballarat. The ‘ring’ members, with the exception of Edwards, were all large subscribers to the bank; Bailey with 7635 shares was the largest subscriber, Loughlin had 7360, and Williamson 3330 – nearly 20% of the total capital. Williamson had returned to Ballarat, been elected to the Legislative Council as a member for Nelson, and became an original director, with Loughlin and Bailey, in the Joint Stock Bank of Victoria. Following its merger with the City of Melbourne Bank Williamson, who was possibly by now resident at Tintern, Toorak, continued as a director of the merged entity. He was still a director in 1893 when the Bank crashed. The collapse of the City of Melbourne Bank sorely tried Loughlin, Bailey and Williamson who were still holding 7175, 1772, and 512 shares respectively. These shares were nominally £5 but paid only to £3 and shareholders were legally liable for the uncalled amount. Williamson was also among the directors charged with issuing two false balance sheets but was eventually acquitted in
During the 1880s this Bank was probably a major source of finance for the expansion of the Bailey and Loughlin empires. For example it was the banker for the Chalks No 1 GMCo which had a £1349 19sh 2d overdraft with the City of Melbourne Bank in 1888. It also possibly provided the large loan following Bailey and Wynne’s purchase of Terrinallum Station.

Prior to the bank crash of 1893 Williamson held Trida Station in the Riverina. Reputedly he had interests in a number of pastoral properties, as had Bailey and Loughlin. Trida, near Mossgiel NSW, was managed by one of his sons and thought to have incurred heavy losses during the drought years of 1895-1902. Bailey and Wynne purchased Terrinallum Station of 47,331 acres in 1883 for £230,000 and then reputedly borrowed £100,000 on the purchase. Terrinallum was a premier stud Merino property visited by the Governor General in 1894 during a tour of the Western District. Bailey is also supposed to have invested in a "good deal" of pastoral properties in NSW but apart from non-specific references in obituaries we have no detail.

Loughlin supposedly owned hotels and was part owner of Welltown Station, Goondiwindi, and other property in Queensland. On his death he owned Glengower Station of 18,000 acres outright and solely – the station that he thought the Creswick ‘deep leads’ would continue under. This property formed the bulk of his estate.

Williamson returned to England in 1878 for 3 years and before departing sold his shares in the Seven Hills Estate Co. It is possible that he also sold his Egerton Co shares at this time as they would have been at a premium price. In 1888 Williamson was listed as a Victorian director of the Pastoral Finance Trust & Agency Co of Australasia, along with E.A. Wynne. This appears to have been a typical ‘land booming’ company which soon disappeared in the depression. He appears to have only occasionally invested in mining on his return but may have been in any number of companies through the 1880s and was certainly involved in land and company speculation. Besides Trida Station in the Riverina he is thought to have at some stage had an interest in Tottington, St. Arnaud.

Loughlin, boarded at the Esplanade Hotel, St Kilda during the spring racing season. The Australian Dictionary of Biography entry for jockey Tommy Corrigan stated that by “1877 he was settled in Ballarat where he found a patron, Martin Loughlin. For over a decade the combination of Loughlin owner, Wilson trainer and Corrigan jockey dominated the Melbourne and Victorian Country Race-courses.” Loughlin kept a large stable and ‘Sheet Anchor’ won the Melbourne Cup in 1885 and ‘Oakleigh’ won the Caulfield Cup in 1887. Bailey had at least 13 successful horses raced over 23 years and he was a “conspicuous figure at Caulfield and Flemington at one time.” He also regularly attended meetings at Dowling Forest until his last illness. Unlike his younger brother and his associate Bailey did not win a Melbourne Cup but like Loughlin he spent a lot of money on yearlings that took his fancy, occasionally placed very large bets and now and then profited on a horse sale. He was patron to James Scobie who, for many years, trained his horses at Miners Rest and was the son-in-law of his close friend and sometime racing partner Robert Orr.
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Prior to the bank crash of 1893 Williamson held Trida Station in the Riverina. Reputedly he had interests in a number of pastoral properties, as had Bailey and Loughlin. Trida, near Mossgiel NSW, was managed by one of his sons and thought to have incurred heavy losses during the drought years of 1895-1902. Bailey and Wynne purchased Terrinallum Station of 47,331 acres in 1883 for £230,000 and then reputedly borrowed £100,000 on the purchase. Terrinallum was a premier stud Merino property visited by the Governor General in 1894 during a tour of the Western District. Bailey is also supposed to have invested in a “good deal” of pastoral properties in NSW but apart from non-specific references in obituaries we have no detail.

Loughlin supposedly owned hotels and was part owner of Welltown Station, Goondiwindi, and other property in Queensland. On his death he owned Glengower Station of 18,000 acres outright and solely – the station that he thought the Creswick ‘deep leads’ would continue under. This property formed the bulk of his estate.
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Prior to the bank crash of 1893 Williamson held Trida Station in the Riverina. Reputedly he had interests in a number of pastoral properties, as had Bailey and Loughlin. Trida, near Mossgiel NSW, was managed by one of his sons and thought to have incurred heavy losses during the drought years of 1895-1902. Bailey and Wynne purchased Terrinallum Station of 47,331 acres in 1883 for £230,000 and then reputedly borrowed £100,000 on the purchase. Terrinallum was a premier stud Merino property visited by the Governor General in 1894 during a tour of the Western District. Bailey is also supposed to have invested in a “good deal” of pastoral properties in NSW but apart from non-specific references in obituaries we have no detail.

Loughlin supposedly owned hotels and was part owner of Welltown Station, Goondiwindi, and other property in Queensland. On his death he owned Glengower Station of 18,000 acres outright and solely – the station that he thought the Creswick ‘deep leads’ would continue under. This property formed the bulk of his estate.

Williamson returned to England in 1878 for 3 years and before departing sold his shares in the Seven Hills Estate Co. It is possible that he also sold his Egerton Co shares at this time as they would have been at a premium price. In 1888 Williamson was listed as a Victorian director of the Pastoral Finance Trust & Agency Co of Australasia, along with E.A. Wynne. This appears to have been a typical ‘land booming’ company which soon disappeared in the depression. He appears to have only occasionally invested in mining on his return but may have been in any number of companies through the 1880s and was certainly involved in land and company speculation. Besides Trida Station in the Riverina he is thought to have at some stage had an interest in Tottington, St. Arnaud.

Loughlin, boarded at the Esplanade Hotel, St Kilda during the spring racing season. The Australian Dictionary of Biography entry for jockey Tommy Corrigan stated that by “1877 he was settled in Ballarat where he found a patron, Martin Loughlin. For over a decade the combination of Loughlin owner, Wilson trainer and Corrigan jockey dominated the Melbourne and Victorian Country Race-courses.” Loughlin kept a large stable and ‘Sheet Anchor’ won the Melbourne Cup in 1885 and ‘Oakleigh’ won the Caulfield Cup in 1887. Bailey had at least 13 successful horses raced over 23 years and he was a “conspicuous figure at Caulfield and Flemington at one time.” He also regularly attended meetings at Dowling Forest until his last illness. Unlike his younger brother and his associate Bailey did not win a Melbourne Cup but like Loughlin he spent a lot of money on yearlings that took his fancy, occasionally placed very large bets and now and then profited on a horse sale. He was patron to James Scobie who, for many years, trained his horses at Miners Rest and was the son-in-law of his close friend and sometime racing partner Robert Orr.
Ends and a Few Loose Ends

The fate of Owen Edwards is one loose end. His last known mining investment was in the Prince of Wales and Bonshaw United Co in 1886. There are two possible death dates for him. The earlier one is in 1905 at Ballarat and comes from Internet sources and seems the most likely but there are no probate records for this individual. This source cites his full name of Owen Edward Edwards, with a birth date of 1828 and an age of 77. The other lesser possibility occurs on 28 March 1919 of a grazier from Nhill and late of Koornda, Malvern. This Owen Edwards left an Estate valued at £23,780 but no mining shares. If this is our Owen Edwards he was 89 to 91 years old when he died. There is a very slight chance that Edwards returned to Wales.

Probably Williamson moved from Tintern to Helenslea Brighton after the depression and bank crash in 1893 where, following his acquittal on charges relating to the City of Melbourne Bank fiasco, he gradually divested himself of his remaining property. Like Loughlin the depression must have severely depleted his income and resources. The trial must have been a terrible strain on whatever capital he had remaining but he appears to have remained solvent. When he died on 19 September 1914 his total estate was worth £116 consisting mainly of a bank account and he had no real estate whatsoever. The title to Helenslea was held by the estate of a son who had predeceased him.

Martin Loughlin’s investments in property – principally Glengower Station - plus providing substantial mortgage loans to Armitage, Winter-Irving and other friends, his Seven Hills Estate shareholding and his savings accounts, provided the bulk of his estate. Apart from his shares in Seven Hills, Madame Berry and the Duke Estate, which all returned dividends to his estate, his bank and other shareholdings were a disaster. He reputedly got his fingers burnt by his Tramways shares. If he had purchased his holding of 7001 shares near the top of the boom then he would have lost around £50,000 on this deal. Besides any other dealings of this kind Loughlin lost heavily with calls for unpaid capital being made on all his banking shares. The Commercial and the City of Melbourne Banks were the worst offenders but substantial calls were also made by the National Bank. One can assume that there were numerous other financial ventures by Loughlin that he lost money on during the depression of which we have no record. These losses could easily amount to the £100,000 Loughlin reputedly lost in the crash. On his demise Loughlin possessed no shares in the Egerton Co – the company on which his fortune was based. More recently he has appeared prominently as the 25th richest Australian ever on Rubinstein’s All Australian Rich List where his estate was calculated as the equivalent of $1.37 billion in the year 2000.

Loughlin possessed no horses on his death but did have a number of paintings of horses in his possession, including one by Frederick Woodhouse, and one of his horse ‘Lord Harry’. It remains a mystery why a man who was so passionate about horses and racing most of his life suddenly gave up his stable, and according to MacCallum, gave away horses worth £5000 to
his friends. It is an unusual coincidence that Loughlin became ill and eventually died a relatively short time after his favourite jockey Corrigan had died after a steeplechase fall.

Bailey had his mansion built in 1883 at a cost of £14,000 and the following year he purchased the Terrinallum station. When he died in 1906 the Terrinallum property, managed by one of his sons, was the major asset in his estate. The mansion in Drummond Street appears to have been in his wife’s name as it was not listed amongst his estate’s assets. Among his assets was the racehorse Taunton. The final probate figure for his estate was £171,405.

I have some sympathies with two of the ‘ring’ - Bailey and Loughlin. They were men who were once working miners and became, in the Egerton case, accidental representatives of the working and mercantile classes in their political struggle against the squatters. Bailey stands out for his intelligence and Loughlin for his bravado. Both men are largely forgotten. At worst Loughlin was a likable rogue and the Egerton scandal the one known blemish on Bailey’s business career.
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109. Glengower Station adjoined the Seven Hills Estate to the north and north-west. The original hope was that the rich Seven Hills alluvial leads would continue under the Glengower freehold. There were 30 original shareholders in the Glengower Estate Co. Of the 500 shares 96 were held by MH Baird, presumably the former owner, 36 by Loughlin and 33 by Bailey. The prospecting appears to have been done by the Glengower GMC of which Bailey and Loughlin were major promoters and 18,700 of the 20,000 shares were held in trust by JA Chalk. After failing to find gold this company collapsed and in doing so probably severely reduced the value of the Glengower Estate. Martin Loughlin must then have proceeded to buy out all the other shareholders. McAdie. Index of Shareholders and Mining Companies in Victoria...


111. Some sources on this such as McCallum’s (‘Loughlin, Martin (1833–1894)…’) entry are ambiguous. Loughlin’s horses won both of these events, but in different years, whereas the term ‘double’ implies winning both events in the same year. It is of interest that Corrigan was not the jockey for either of these wins. It is also not clear where Loughlin’s horses were stabled but presumably in the Miners Rest - Dowling Forest district.

112. Obituary William Bailey Argus 26.4.06


114. ibid

115. This is not because Edwards mining investments ceased in this year but rather that the McAdie Index ends in 1886 and we have so far located no other mining investments by him.


117. Probate Records. PROV VPRS 28 P03 Unit 961

118. James Williamson Probate. PROV VPRS 28 P3 Unit 504

119. Probate Records Martin Loughlin PROV VPRS 28 P0 Unit 733. The size of the mortgages to A.S. Armitage and W.I. Winter-Irving were £75,000 and £40,000 respectively and the loans were earning 5% interest payable half yearly. It is not clear from the probate papers how much his Seven Hills shares were sold for but they were valued at £4 – a substantial underestimate as they kept paying dividends for another 13 years and the last dividend was probably close to £5 per share. (see note 98)
120. Ibid. The bank calls on the estate were as follows City of Melbourne £1803 10s payable every six months, the Commercial £1125.5s and National £251.5s both payable quarterly. Thus the Commercial payments were a bigger drain on the Estate than the City of Melbourne Bank.

121. Rubinstein. The All-time Australian 200 Rich List… The fact that Loughlin died in the middle of a severe depression must have had some bearing on this calculation. It is interesting that Rubinstein also includes both Somerville and Andrew Learmonth who make his ‘also-rans list’ for NSW (No.53 £200,000 p.128) and Victoria (No.43 £210,237 p. 132) respectively. See note 7 for information on the Livingstone-Learmonth surname.

122. Woodhouse painted every Melbourne Cup winner from 1861 to 1891 including both Nimblefoot and Sheet Anchor. The Woodhouse painting that Loughlin possessed was probably of the latter horse. [https://www.daa.org.au/bio/frederick-woodhouse/biography/] accessed 20.1.16

123. McCallum. ’Loughlin, Martin (1833–1894)… Loughlin then for some reason retires from racing and reputedly gave his horses away.

124. Corrigan died on 13.8.1894 and Loughlin on 22.9.1894